	1		
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
3	X		
4	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE : COMMISSION, 21-CV-5350(PKC)		
5	Plaintiff, : United States Courthouse		
6	- against - : Brooklyn, New York		
7	RICHARD XIA, et al., : February 14, 2022		
8	10:00 oʻclock a.m. Defendants. :		
9	X		
10	TRANSCRIPT OF ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE		
11	BEFORE THE HONORABLE PAMELA K. CHEN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE.		
12	APPEARANCES:		
13	For the Plaintiff: US SECURITIES & EXCHANGE		
14 15	COMMISSION New York Regional Office 200 Vesey Street, Suite 400 New York, New York 10281-1022		
16	BY: DAVID P. STOELTING, ESQ.		
17	KEVIN P. McGRATH, ÉSQ. KIM HAN, ESQ.		
18	For the Defendants: SILLS CUMMIS & GROSS, P.C. One Rockefeller Plaza		
19	New York, New York 10020		
20	BY: HERVE GOURAIGE, ESQ.		
21	TAHER KAMELI, ESQ.		
22	17 N. State Street, Suite 1700 Chicago, Illinois 60602		
23	Court Reporter: Charleane M. Heading		
24	225 Cadman Plaza East Brooklyn, New York		
25	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.		

CMH OCR RDR FCRR

```
Xia - direct - Stoelting
                                                                 190
1
    Q
         And you prepared it by yourself?
 2
    Α
         Yes.
 3
    Q
         So I just want to --
 4
              MR. STOELTING: We can mark this as 178.
5
              THE COURT: Is this something else?
              MR. STOELTING: This is the affirmation of Mr. Xia
6
7
    that was filed along with the accounting.
8
              THE COURT: All right.
9
              MR. KAMELI: What happened to 177? You said you
10
    were going to call this 178?
              MR. STOELTING: 177 was the other declaration we
11
12
    just marked.
13
              THE COURT: This is 178.
14
               (Plaintiff's Exhibit 178 so marked.)
    Q
         I just want to ask you about paragraph 2.
15
16
              MR. STOELTING: So I guess we'll mark this as 178?
17
              THE COURT: Yes. Go ahead.
18
              MR. STOELTING: Okay.
19
         Paragraph 3, I'm sorry. So it says: The accounting and
20
    the information in the accounting has not been prepared,
21
    substantiated, authenticated, verified or vetted in whole or
22
    in part by any accountant, lawyer or other professional
23
    service provider.
24
              Do you know why that provision was put in your
25
    affirmation?
```

CMH OCR RDR FCRR

Xia - direct - Stoelting 191 1 Α That's prepared by my counsel. 2 But so is that true, that your accountant -- no lawyer 3 even looked at it to make sure it was accurate, no accountant 4 looked at it, your tax preparer didn't look at it, none of 5 your work colleagues, nobody looked at it except you? 6 It was in a rush. I was ordered to produce, I think, in 7 three days including weekend. I was really working hard to 8 get it done, but I believe it's 100 percent true. 9 Did you specifically not want your lawyers or your 10 accountants or anyone else to review it before it was filed? 11 No, I sent to my lawyer. This has actually been filed 12 through lawyer, I guess. 13 THE COURT: No, but to review it. Did you ask 14 anyone to review it? 15 THE WITNESS: Yes. I think when it's initially 16 being prepared, I share with the SEC attorney and they gave a 17 lot of comments and then I, you know, modified, revised it and 18 then I was told SEC attorney was happy about that, then we 19 filed it. 20 THE COURT: No, but you signed the affirmation 21 saying no lawyer or accountant reviewed it. Now you're saying 22 someone did review it and suggest changes to it? 23 THE WITNESS: That's the same lawyers, the Marc 24 Mukasey firm. 25 THE COURT: No, my question to you is you signed

Xia - direct - Stoelting 192 something saying no lawyer reviewed it. Is that inaccurate? 1 2 THE WITNESS: I didn't pay attention for that part. 3 I was just in a rush to prepare that and they told me to sign 4 this and that's all I can tell you. 5 THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Stoelting. So is it -- it's true, correct, that none of the Mirage 6 Q 7 or Emerald investors have been paid back their capital 8 contributions? 9 Not until now but I did send a letter in May 2021 telling all the EB-5 investor in the EMMCO that we're ready to 10 11 dissolve the partnership and pay them back because condition 12 met, the last EB-5 investor filed an application for three 13 years already, and I was actually ready to pay them back after August the 26th. 14 15 But you do understand that investors do want their Q 0kav. 16 capital contributions back, right? 17 Yes, we had, we even have agreement, I think. They were 18 trying to ask me, you know, but right now, because of the 19 asset freeze, we cannot honor that agreement. 20 I think you mentioned at some point the explosion at the 21 Eastern Emerald site in early 2019. Can we look at 22 Exhibit 80. 23 Okay. So Exhibit 80 is the construction safety 24 engineering unit inspection report and I just want to read a 25 couple of portions.

		435	
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
2	X		
3			
4	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE : COMMISSION,	21-CV-5350(PKC)	
5	Plaintiff, :	United States Courthouse	
6	- against - :	Brooklyn, New York	
7	RICHARD XIA, et al., :	February 16, 2022 12:00 o'clock p.m.	
8	Defendants. :	12.00 0 CTOCK p.m.	
9	X		
10	TRANSCRIPT OF ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE		
11	BEFORE THE HONORABLE PAMELA K. CHEN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE.		
12	APPEARANCES:		
13	For the Plaintiff:	US SECURITIES & EXCHANGE	
14		COMMISSION New York Regional Office	
15		200 Vesey Street, Suite 400 New York, New York 10281-1022	
16		BY: DAVID P. STOELTING, ESQ.	
17		KEVIN P. McGRATH, ESQ. KIM HAN, ESQ.	
18	For the Defendants:	SILLS CUMMIS & GROSS, P.C.	
19		One Rockefeller Plaza New York, New York 10020	
20		BY: HERVE GOURAIGE, ESQ.	
21		TAHER KAMELI, ESQ.	
22		17 N. State Street, Suite 1700 Chicago, Illinois 60602	
23	Court Reporter:	Charleane M. Heading	
24		225 Cadman Plaza East Brooklyn, New York	
25	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.		

CMH OCR RDR FCRR

Peeler - Direct - McGrath 543 1 Α Yes, they did. 2 Can you give us a brief summary of what you learned 3 happened at the meeting? 4 There were a series of conversations about, again, primarily documents that we felt we absolutely had to have to 5 do the work. At that time it was due in approximately three 6 7 weeks from that moment. And in the course of that 8 conversation and discussions relating to his -- the workforce 9 doing the work on Mirage and trying to pin down the laborers 10 on site and the cost to complete and, again, some of the 11 numbers that were being used, Mr. Xia made a number of 12 statements relating to those individuals that gave me pause. 13 Q Let me focus you first on the document end of this. What, if anything, did Mr. Xia say or did your team 14 learn from their meeting there regarding what documents 15 16 existed and didn't exist? 17 Well, the conversation the day before this meeting and in 18 this meeting, Mr. Xia made a number of statements. 19 Specifically, I recall the following: 20 Number one, he indicated that there was no general 21 ledger or accounts payable system whatsoever. 22 Number two, he had said to us that Excel -- that for 23 him to enter numbers onto a spreadsheet was a waste of time, that his entire business model was about efficiencies and 24 25 avoiding those sorts of things and that those things were a

Peeler - Direct - McGrath 544

waste of time and a waste of his energy, that his memory was all we needed, that he had all the things we needed from his memory.

And he went on to describe again a number of things that I was, quite frankly, stunned at that point. Just hearing those things alone, for any business or concern whatsoever, let alone a business that is receiving investor funds and/or is in a highly regulated industry like the construction industry in New York City, those statements were, quite frankly, deeply troubling to me at that time.

He then went on to discuss how the workers onsite were paid.

Q What did he say about that?

A He indicated that his -- we were aware, he told us, that he owned a number of rental properties outside of the projects we're discussing in this matter, approximately 17; that routinely his wife would go around and collect that rent in cash, that she wouldn't count that cash; and that based upon his assessment of the level of work that was being done at the Mirage site specifically, he would tell her how much cash to pay individual workers, laborers on site, which she would do.

Q Did you ask whether Mr. Xia had any payroll records to document the payments to the workers for his different, quote, subcontractor affiliates?

A We did.

Peeler - Direct - McGrath 545 1 Q What did he tell you? 2 He indicated that he based his assessments of how much to 3 pay the workers based upon, in essence, a specific job or a 4 floor or a task that would have a certain value to it; that the workers on site would have time cards and that based upon 5 his review of those time cards, that's how he would determine 6 7 how much his wife should pay those workers in cash. When we 8 asked for those time cards, none were produced. 9 Did you ever receive any payroll records from Mr. Xia 10 relating to the work that was done through his affiliate 11 entities? I should say that when Mr. Xia was on the stand the 12 No. 13 other day, he began to talk about ADP records which relate to 14 his -- what he calls his employees, which are a different group of individuals. 15 Are you referring to the payroll records for the Fleet 16 17 company? 18 Yes. 19 And is it your understanding -- is your understanding 20 those are office workers or pick-and-shovel type workers? 21 No, those are more of the engineers. They are more --22 and again, I think as Mr. Xia testified, I think those are 23 individuals from more the office workers, and they are two 24 different groups of people. We were focused on the laborers

on site because we're focusing on cost to complete and how

25